

18.600: Lecture 34
**Martingales and the optional stopping
theorem**

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Outline

Martingales and stopping times

Optional stopping theorem

Martingales and stopping times

Optional stopping theorem

Martingale definition

- ▶ Let S be a probability space.

Martingale definition

- ▶ Let S be a probability space.
- ▶ Let X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of random variables. Informally, we will imagine that we acquiring information about S in a sequence of stages, and each X_j represents a quantity that is known to us at the j th stage.

Martingale definition

- ▶ Let S be a probability space.
- ▶ Let X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of random variables. Informally, we will imagine that we acquiring information about S in a sequence of stages, and each X_j represents a quantity that is known to us at the j th stage.
- ▶ If Z is any random variable, we let $E[Z|\mathcal{F}_n]$ denote the conditional expectation of X given all the information that is available to us on the n th stage. If we don't specify otherwise, we assume that this information consists precisely of the values X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n , so that $E[Z|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[Z|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n]$. (In some applications, one could imagine there are other things known as well at stage n .)

Martingale definition

- ▶ Let S be a probability space.
- ▶ Let X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of random variables. Informally, we will imagine that we acquiring information about S in a sequence of stages, and each X_j represents a quantity that is known to us at the j th stage.
- ▶ If Z is any random variable, we let $E[Z|\mathcal{F}_n]$ denote the conditional expectation of X given all the information that is available to us on the n th stage. If we don't specify otherwise, we assume that this information consists precisely of the values X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n , so that $E[Z|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[Z|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n]$. (In some applications, one could imagine there are other things known as well at stage n .)
- ▶ We say X_n sequence is a **martingale** if $E[|X_n|] < \infty$ for all n and $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$ for all n .

Martingale definition

- ▶ Let S be a probability space.
- ▶ Let X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of random variables. Informally, we will imagine that we acquiring information about S in a sequence of stages, and each X_j represents a quantity that is known to us at the j th stage.
- ▶ If Z is any random variable, we let $E[Z|\mathcal{F}_n]$ denote the conditional expectation of X given all the information that is available to us on the n th stage. If we don't specify otherwise, we assume that this information consists precisely of the values X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n , so that $E[Z|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[Z|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n]$. (In some applications, one could imagine there are other things known as well at stage n .)
- ▶ We say X_n sequence is a **martingale** if $E[|X_n|] < \infty$ for all n and $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$ for all n .
- ▶ "Taking into account all the information I have at stage n , the expected value at stage $n + 1$ is the value at stage n ."

Martingale definition

- ▶ Example: Imagine that X_n is the price of a stock on day n .

Martingale definition

- ▶ Example: Imagine that X_n is the price of a stock on day n .
- ▶ Martingale condition: “Expected value of stock tomorrow, given all I know today, is value of the stock today.”

Martingale definition

- ▶ Example: Imagine that X_n is the price of a stock on day n .
- ▶ Martingale condition: “Expected value of stock tomorrow, given all I know today, is value of the stock today.”
- ▶ Question: If you are given a mathematical description of a process X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots then how can you check whether it is a martingale?

Martingale definition

- ▶ Example: Imagine that X_n is the price of a stock on day n .
- ▶ Martingale condition: “Expected value of stock tomorrow, given all I know today, is value of the stock today.”
- ▶ Question: If you are given a mathematical description of a process X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots then how can you check whether it is a martingale?
- ▶ Consider all of the information that you know after having seen X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n . Then try to figure out what additional (not yet known) randomness is involved in determining X_{n+1} . Use this to figure out the conditional expectation of X_{n+1} , and check to see whether this is necessarily equal to the known X_n value.

Martingale examples

- ▶ Suppose that A_1, A_2, \dots are i.i.d. random variables each equal to -1 with probability $.5$ and 1 with probability $.5$.

Martingale examples

- ▶ Suppose that A_1, A_2, \dots are i.i.d. random variables each equal to -1 with probability $.5$ and 1 with probability $.5$.
- ▶ Let $X_0 = 0$ and $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n > 0$. Is the X_n sequence a martingale?

Martingale examples

- ▶ Suppose that A_1, A_2, \dots are i.i.d. random variables each equal to -1 with probability $.5$ and 1 with probability $.5$.
- ▶ Let $X_0 = 0$ and $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n > 0$. Is the X_n sequence a martingale?
- ▶ Answer: yes. To see this, note that
$$E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[X_n + A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] + E[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n],$$
by additivity of conditional expectation (given \mathcal{F}_n).

Martingale examples

- ▶ Suppose that A_1, A_2, \dots are i.i.d. random variables each equal to -1 with probability $.5$ and 1 with probability $.5$.
- ▶ Let $X_0 = 0$ and $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n > 0$. Is the X_n sequence a martingale?
- ▶ Answer: yes. To see this, note that
$$E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[X_n + A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] + E[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n],$$
by additivity of conditional expectation (given \mathcal{F}_n).
- ▶ Since X_n is known at stage n , we have $E[X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$. Since we know nothing more about A_{n+1} at stage n than we originally knew, we have $E[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = 0$. Thus
$$E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n.$$

Martingale examples

- ▶ Suppose that A_1, A_2, \dots are i.i.d. random variables each equal to -1 with probability $.5$ and 1 with probability $.5$.
- ▶ Let $X_0 = 0$ and $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n > 0$. Is the X_n sequence a martingale?
- ▶ Answer: yes. To see this, note that
$$E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[X_n + A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] + E[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n],$$
by additivity of conditional expectation (given \mathcal{F}_n).
- ▶ Since X_n is known at stage n , we have $E[X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$. Since we know nothing more about A_{n+1} at stage n than we originally knew, we have $E[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = 0$. Thus
$$E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n.$$
- ▶ Informally, I'm just tossing a new fair coin at each stage to see if X_n goes up or down one step. If I know the information available up to stage n , and I know $X_n = 10$, then I see $X_{n+1} = 11$ and $X_{n+1} = 9$ as equally likely, so
$$E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = 10 = X_n.$$

Another martingale example

- ▶ What if each A_i is 1.01 with probability .5 and .99 with probability .5 and we write $X_0 = 1$ and $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n > 0$? Then is X_n a martingale?

Another martingale example

- ▶ What if each A_i is 1.01 with probability .5 and .99 with probability .5 and we write $X_0 = 1$ and $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n > 0$? Then is X_n a martingale?
- ▶ Answer: yes. Note that $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n]$. At stage n , the value X_n is known, and hence can be treated as a known constant, which can be factored out of the expectation, i.e., $E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_nE[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n]$.

Another martingale example

- ▶ What if each A_i is 1.01 with probability .5 and .99 with probability .5 and we write $X_0 = 1$ and $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n > 0$? Then is X_n a martingale?
- ▶ Answer: yes. Note that $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n]$. At stage n , the value X_n is known, and hence can be treated as a known constant, which can be factored out of the expectation, i.e., $E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_nE[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n]$.

Another martingale example

- ▶ What if each A_i is 1.01 with probability .5 and .99 with probability .5 and we write $X_0 = 1$ and $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n > 0$? Then is X_n a martingale?
- ▶ Answer: yes. Note that $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n]$. At stage n , the value X_n is known, and hence can be treated as a known constant, which can be factored out of the expectation, i.e., $E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_nE[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n]$.
- ▶ Since I know nothing new about A_{n+1} at stage n , we have $E[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[A_{n+1}] = 1$. Hence $E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$.

Another martingale example

- ▶ What if each A_i is 1.01 with probability .5 and .99 with probability .5 and we write $X_0 = 1$ and $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n > 0$? Then is X_n a martingale?
- ▶ Answer: yes. Note that $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n]$. At stage n , the value X_n is known, and hence can be treated as a known constant, which can be factored out of the expectation, i.e., $E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n E[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n]$.
- ▶ Since I know nothing new about A_{n+1} at stage n , we have $E[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[A_{n+1}] = 1$. Hence $E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$.
- ▶ Informally, I'm just tossing a new fair coin at each stage to see if X_n goes up or down by a percentage point of its current value. If I know all the information available up to stage n , and I know $X_n = 5$, then I see $X_{n+1} = 5.05$ and $X_{n+1} = 4.95$ as equally likely, so $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = 5$.

Another martingale example

- ▶ What if each A_i is 1.01 with probability .5 and .99 with probability .5 and we write $X_0 = 1$ and $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n > 0$? Then is X_n a martingale?
- ▶ Answer: yes. Note that $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n]$. At stage n , the value X_n is known, and hence can be treated as a known constant, which can be factored out of the expectation, i.e., $E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n E[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n]$.
- ▶ Since I know nothing new about A_{n+1} at stage n , we have $E[A_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[A_{n+1}] = 1$. Hence $E[A_{n+1}X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$.
- ▶ Informally, I'm just tossing a new fair coin at each stage to see if X_n goes up or down by a percentage point of its current value. If I know all the information available up to stage n , and I know $X_n = 5$, then I see $X_{n+1} = 5.05$ and $X_{n+1} = 4.95$ as equally likely, so $E[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = 5$.
- ▶ **Two classic martingale examples:** sums of independent random variables (each with mean zero) and products of independent random variables (each with mean one).

Another example

- ▶ Suppose A is 1 with probability .5 and -1 with probability .5. Let $X_0 = 0$ and write $X_n = (-1)^n A$ for all $n > 0$.

Another example

- ▶ Suppose A is 1 with probability .5 and -1 with probability .5. Let $X_0 = 0$ and write $X_n = (-1)^n A$ for all $n > 0$.
- ▶ What is $E[X_n]$, as a function of n ?

Another example

- ▶ Suppose A is 1 with probability .5 and -1 with probability .5. Let $X_0 = 0$ and write $X_n = (-1)^n A$ for all $n > 0$.
- ▶ What is $E[X_n]$, as a function of n ?
- ▶ $E[X_n] = 0$ for all n .

Another example

- ▶ Suppose A is 1 with probability .5 and -1 with probability .5. Let $X_0 = 0$ and write $X_n = (-1)^n A$ for all $n > 0$.
- ▶ What is $E[X_n]$, as a function of n ?
- ▶ $E[X_n] = 0$ for all n .
- ▶ Does this mean that X_n is a martingale?

Another example

- ▶ Suppose A is 1 with probability .5 and -1 with probability .5. Let $X_0 = 0$ and write $X_n = (-1)^n A$ for all $n > 0$.
- ▶ What is $E[X_n]$, as a function of n ?
- ▶ $E[X_n] = 0$ for all n .
- ▶ Does this mean that X_n is a martingale?
- ▶ No. If $n \geq 1$, then given the information available up to stage n , I can figure out what A must be, and can hence deduce exactly what X_{n+1} will be — and it is not the same as X_n . In particular, $E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = -X_n \neq X_n$.

Another example

- ▶ Suppose A is 1 with probability .5 and -1 with probability .5. Let $X_0 = 0$ and write $X_n = (-1)^n A$ for all $n > 0$.
- ▶ What is $E[X_n]$, as a function of n ?
- ▶ $E[X_n] = 0$ for all n .
- ▶ Does this mean that X_n is a martingale?
- ▶ No. If $n \geq 1$, then given the information available up to stage n , I can figure out what A must be, and can hence deduce exactly what X_{n+1} will be — and it is not the same as X_n . In particular, $E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = -X_n \neq X_n$.
- ▶ Informally, X_n alternates between 1 and -1 . Each time it goes up and hits 1, I know it will go back down to -1 on the next step.

Stopping time definition

- ▶ Let T be a non-negative integer valued random variable.

Stopping time definition

- ▶ Let T be a non-negative integer valued random variable.
- ▶ Think of T as giving the time the asset will be sold if the price sequence is X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots

Stopping time definition

- ▶ Let T be a non-negative integer valued random variable.
- ▶ Think of T as giving the time the asset will be sold if the price sequence is X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots
- ▶ Say that T is a **stopping time** if the event that $T = n$ depends only on the values X_i for $i \leq n$. In other words, the decision to sell at time n depends only on prices up to time n , not on (as yet unknown) future prices.

Stopping time examples

- ▶ Let A_1, \dots be i.i.d. random variables equal to -1 with probability $.5$ and 1 with probability $.5$ and let $X_0 = 0$ and $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n \geq 0$.

Stopping time examples

- ▶ Let A_1, \dots be i.i.d. random variables equal to -1 with probability $.5$ and 1 with probability $.5$ and let $X_0 = 0$ and $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n \geq 0$.
- ▶ Which of the following is a stopping time?
 1. The smallest T for which $|X_T| = 50$
 2. The smallest T for which $X_T \in \{-10, 100\}$
 3. The smallest T for which $X_T = 0$.
 4. The T at which the X_n sequence achieves the value 17 for the 9 th time.
 5. The value of $T \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 100\}$ for which X_T is largest.
 6. The largest $T \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 100\}$ for which $X_T = 0$.

Stopping time examples

- ▶ Let A_1, \dots be i.i.d. random variables equal to -1 with probability $.5$ and 1 with probability $.5$ and let $X_0 = 0$ and $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ for $n \geq 0$.
- ▶ Which of the following is a stopping time?
 1. The smallest T for which $|X_T| = 50$
 2. The smallest T for which $X_T \in \{-10, 100\}$
 3. The smallest T for which $X_T = 0$.
 4. The T at which the X_n sequence achieves the value 17 for the 9 th time.
 5. The value of $T \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 100\}$ for which X_T is largest.
 6. The largest $T \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 100\}$ for which $X_T = 0$.
- ▶ Answer: first four, not last two.

Outline

Martingales and stopping times

Optional stopping theorem

Outline

Martingales and stopping times

Optional stopping theorem

Optional stopping overview

- ▶ **Doob's optional stopping time theorem** is contained in many basic texts on probability and Martingales. (See, for example, Theorem 10.10 of *Probability with Martingales*, by David Williams, 1991.)

Optional stopping overview

- ▶ **Doob's optional stopping time theorem** is contained in many basic texts on probability and Martingales. (See, for example, Theorem 10.10 of *Probability with Martingales*, by David Williams, 1991.)
- ▶ Essentially says that you can't make money (in expectation) by buying and selling an asset whose price is a martingale.

Optional stopping overview

- ▶ **Doob's optional stopping time theorem** is contained in many basic texts on probability and Martingales. (See, for example, Theorem 10.10 of *Probability with Martingales*, by David Williams, 1991.)
- ▶ Essentially says that you can't make money (in expectation) by buying and selling an asset whose price is a martingale.
- ▶ Precisely, if you buy the asset at some time and adopt any strategy at all for deciding when to sell it, then the expected price at the time you sell is the price you originally paid.

Optional stopping overview

- ▶ **Doob's optional stopping time theorem** is contained in many basic texts on probability and Martingales. (See, for example, Theorem 10.10 of *Probability with Martingales*, by David Williams, 1991.)
- ▶ Essentially says that you can't make money (in expectation) by buying and selling an asset whose price is a martingale.
- ▶ Precisely, if you buy the asset at some time and adopt any strategy at all for deciding when to sell it, then the expected price at the time you sell is the price you originally paid.
- ▶ If market price is a martingale, you cannot make money in expectation by "timing the market."

Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem: statement

- ▶ **Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem:** If the sequence X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots is a **bounded** martingale, and T is a stopping time, then the expected value of X_T is X_0 .

Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem: statement

- ▶ **Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem:** If the sequence X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots is a **bounded** martingale, and T is a stopping time, then the expected value of X_T is X_0 .
- ▶ When we say martingale is bounded, we mean that for some C , we have that with probability one $|X_i| < C$ for all i .

Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem: statement

- ▶ **Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem:** If the sequence X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots is a **bounded** martingale, and T is a stopping time, then the expected value of X_T is X_0 .
- ▶ When we say martingale is bounded, we mean that for some C , we have that with probability one $|X_i| < C$ for all i .
- ▶ Why is this assumption necessary?

Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem: statement

- ▶ **Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem:** If the sequence X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots is a **bounded** martingale, and T is a stopping time, then the expected value of X_T is X_0 .
- ▶ When we say martingale is bounded, we mean that for some C , we have that with probability one $|X_i| < C$ for all i .
- ▶ Why is this assumption necessary?
- ▶ Can we give a counterexample if boundedness is not assumed?

Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem: statement

- ▶ **Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem:** If the sequence X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots is a **bounded** martingale, and T is a stopping time, then the expected value of X_T is X_0 .
- ▶ When we say martingale is bounded, we mean that for some C , we have that with probability one $|X_i| < C$ for all i .
- ▶ Why is this assumption necessary?
- ▶ Can we give a counterexample if boundedness is not assumed?
- ▶ Theorem can be proved by induction if *stopping time* T is bounded. Unbounded T requires a limit argument. (This is where boundedness of martingale is used.)

Martingales applied to finance

- ▶ Many asset prices are believed to behave approximately like martingales, at least in the short term.

Martingales applied to finance

- ▶ Many asset prices are believed to behave approximately like martingales, at least in the short term.
- ▶ **Efficient market hypothesis:** new information is instantly absorbed into the stock value, so expected value of the stock tomorrow should be the value today. (If it were higher, statistical arbitrageurs would bid up today's price until this was not the case.)

Martingales applied to finance

- ▶ Many asset prices are believed to behave approximately like martingales, at least in the short term.
- ▶ **Efficient market hypothesis:** new information is instantly absorbed into the stock value, so expected value of the stock tomorrow should be the value today. (If it were higher, statistical arbitrageurs would bid up today's price until this was not the case.)
- ▶ But what about interest, risk premium, etc.?

Martingales applied to finance

- ▶ Many asset prices are believed to behave approximately like martingales, at least in the short term.
- ▶ **Efficient market hypothesis:** new information is instantly absorbed into the stock value, so expected value of the stock tomorrow should be the value today. (If it were higher, statistical arbitrageurs would bid up today's price until this was not the case.)
- ▶ But what about interest, risk premium, etc.?
- ▶ According to the **fundamental theorem of asset pricing**, the discounted price $\frac{X(n)}{A(n)}$, where A is a risk-free asset, is a martingale with respect to **risk neutral probability**. More on this next lecture.

Martingales as successively revised best guesses

- ▶ The two-element sequence $E[X], X$ is a martingale.

Martingales as successively revised best guesses

- ▶ The two-element sequence $E[X], X$ is a martingale.
- ▶ In previous lectures, we interpreted the conditional expectation $E[X|Y]$ as a random variable.

Martingales as successively revised best guesses

- ▶ The two-element sequence $E[X], X$ is a martingale.
- ▶ In previous lectures, we interpreted the conditional expectation $E[X|Y]$ as a random variable.
- ▶ Depends only on Y . Describes expectation of X given observed Y value.

Martingales as successively revised best guesses

- ▶ The two-element sequence $E[X], X$ is a martingale.
- ▶ In previous lectures, we interpreted the conditional expectation $E[X|Y]$ as a random variable.
- ▶ Depends only on Y . Describes expectation of X given observed Y value.
- ▶ We showed $E[E[X|Y]] = E[X]$.

Martingales as successively revised best guesses

- ▶ The two-element sequence $E[X], X$ is a martingale.
- ▶ In previous lectures, we interpreted the conditional expectation $E[X|Y]$ as a random variable.
- ▶ Depends only on Y . Describes expectation of X given observed Y value.
- ▶ We showed $E[E[X|Y]] = E[X]$.
- ▶ This means that the three-element sequence $E[X], E[X|Y], X$ is a martingale.

Martingales as successively revised best guesses

- ▶ The two-element sequence $E[X], X$ is a martingale.
- ▶ In previous lectures, we interpreted the conditional expectation $E[X|Y]$ as a random variable.
- ▶ Depends only on Y . Describes expectation of X given observed Y value.
- ▶ We showed $E[E[X|Y]] = E[X]$.
- ▶ This means that the three-element sequence $E[X], E[X|Y], X$ is a martingale.
- ▶ More generally if Y_i are any random variables, the sequence $E[X], E[X|Y_1], E[X|Y_1, Y_2], E[X|Y_1, Y_2, Y_3], \dots$ is a martingale.

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24
- ▶ and so sorry to do this by email. (Where’s your phone!?) 38

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24
- ▶ and so sorry to do this by email. (Where’s your phone!?) 38
- ▶ I’ve been spending lots of time with a guy named Robert, 52

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24
- ▶ and so sorry to do this by email. (Where’s your phone!?) 38
- ▶ I’ve been spending lots of time with a guy named Robert, 52
- ▶ a visiting database consultant on my project 34

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24
- ▶ and so sorry to do this by email. (Where’s your phone!?) 38
- ▶ I’ve been spending lots of time with a guy named Robert, 52
- ▶ a visiting database consultant on my project 34
- ▶ who seems very impressed by my work. 23

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24
- ▶ and so sorry to do this by email. (Where’s your phone!?) 38
- ▶ I’ve been spending lots of time with a guy named Robert, 52
- ▶ a visiting database consultant on my project 34
- ▶ who seems very impressed by my work. 23
- ▶ Robert wants me to join his startup in Palo Alto. 38

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24
- ▶ and so sorry to do this by email. (Where’s your phone!?) 38
- ▶ I’ve been spending lots of time with a guy named Robert, 52
- ▶ a visiting database consultant on my project 34
- ▶ who seems very impressed by my work. 23
- ▶ Robert wants me to join his startup in Palo Alto. 38
- ▶ Exciting!!! Of course I said I’d have to talk to you first, 24

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24
- ▶ and so sorry to do this by email. (Where’s your phone!?) 38
- ▶ I’ve been spending lots of time with a guy named Robert, 52
- ▶ a visiting database consultant on my project 34
- ▶ who seems very impressed by my work. 23
- ▶ Robert wants me to join his startup in Palo Alto. 38
- ▶ Exciting!!! Of course I said I’d have to talk to you first, 24
- ▶ because you are absolutely my top priority in my life, 8

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24
- ▶ and so sorry to do this by email. (Where’s your phone!?) 38
- ▶ I’ve been spending lots of time with a guy named Robert, 52
- ▶ a visiting database consultant on my project 34
- ▶ who seems very impressed by my work. 23
- ▶ Robert wants me to join his startup in Palo Alto. 38
- ▶ Exciting!!! Of course I said I’d have to talk to you first, 24
- ▶ because you are absolutely my top priority in my life, 8
- ▶ and you’re stuck at MIT for at least three more years... 11

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24
- ▶ and so sorry to do this by email. (Where’s your phone!?) 38
- ▶ I’ve been spending lots of time with a guy named Robert, 52
- ▶ a visiting database consultant on my project 34
- ▶ who seems very impressed by my work. 23
- ▶ Robert wants me to join his startup in Palo Alto. 38
- ▶ Exciting!!! Of course I said I’d have to talk to you first, 24
- ▶ because you are absolutely my top priority in my life, 8
- ▶ and you’re stuck at MIT for at least three more years... 11
- ▶ but honestly, I’m just so confused on so many levels. 15

Martingales as real-time subjective probability updates

- ▶ Ivan sees email from girlfriend with subject “some possibly serious news”, thinks there’s a 20 percent chance she’ll break up with him by email’s end. Revises number after each line:
- ▶ Oh Ivan, I’ve missed you so much! 12
- ▶ I have something crazy to tell you, 24
- ▶ and so sorry to do this by email. (Where’s your phone!?) 38
- ▶ I’ve been spending lots of time with a guy named Robert, 52
- ▶ a visiting database consultant on my project 34
- ▶ who seems very impressed by my work. 23
- ▶ Robert wants me to join his startup in Palo Alto. 38
- ▶ Exciting!!! Of course I said I’d have to talk to you first, 24
- ▶ because you are absolutely my top priority in my life, 8
- ▶ and you’re stuck at MIT for at least three more years... 11
- ▶ but honestly, I’m just so confused on so many levels. 15
- ▶ Call me!!! I love you! Alice 0

More conditional probability martingale examples

- ▶ Example: let C be the amount of oil available for drilling under a particular piece of land. Suppose that ten geological tests are done that will ultimately determine the value of C . Let C_n be the **conditional expectation** of C *given* the outcome of the first n of these tests. Then the sequence $C_0, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{10} = C$ is a martingale.

More conditional probability martingale examples

- ▶ Example: let C be the amount of oil available for drilling under a particular piece of land. Suppose that ten geological tests are done that will ultimately determine the value of C . Let C_n be the **conditional expectation** of C given the outcome of the first n of these tests. Then the sequence $C_0, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{10} = C$ is a martingale.
- ▶ Let A_i be my best guess at the probability that a basketball team will win the game, given the outcome of the first i minutes of the game. Then (assuming some “rationality” of my personal probabilities) A_i is a martingale.