

# Phototaxis in Volvox

#### 18.S995 - L28



dunkel@mit.edu



#### Fidelity of adaptive phototaxis

#### Knut Drescher, Raymond E. Goldstein<sup>1</sup>, and Idan Tuval

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, United Kingdom Edited by Harry L. Swinney, University of Texas, Austin, TX, and approved May 6, 2010 (received for review January 28, 2010)

www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1000901107

PNAS | June 22, 2010 | vol. 107 | no. 25 | 11171-11176

June 22, 2010 | vol. 107 | no. 25 | 11147–11650



#### Moving to the light

To optimize photosynthesis, algae such as Volvox carteri swim toward or away from sunlight. To execute this motion, known as phototaxis, these microorganism colonies must coordinate the beating of thousands of flagellated cells despite the organism's lack of a central nervous system. Using analytical and empirical methods, Knut Drescher et al. (pp. 11171–11176) demonstrate that V. carteri spins about its swimming direction at a frequency that likely coevolved with the organism's flagellar kinetics to maximize photoreactivity. To characterize the flagellar beating of the organisms, the authors measured the fluid velocities produced by the flagella and modeled the motion with hydrodynamic equations. Using the model, the authors identified a theoretical optimal spinning frequency and tested the finding experimentally by observing how well the algae swam in media with increased viscosities that inhibited the organism's ability to spin. According to the authors, the ex-



Multicellular colony Volvox carteri.

periments demonstrated that with a decreased rotation rate the algae were unable to execute phototaxis as accurately as before, suggesting that in *V. carteri*, flagellar beating and spinning are linked adaptations. By better understanding how simple organisms coordinate multicellular processes, the findings may provide insight into key evolutionary steps that eventually led to higher organisms with central nervous systems. — T.J.





#### Knut Drescher MPI Marburg



#### Idan Tuval

Mediterranean Institute for Advanced Studies



Ray Goldstein Cambridge

# Why is Volvox interesting ?

- germ-soma differentiation
- interesting asexual reproduction 'technique'
- metachronal waves
- locomotion
- phototaxis



# Evolution of multicellularity



Short et al, PNAS 2013



#### http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fqEHbJbuMYA

#### Asexual reproduction & inversion

#### Inversion in Volvox globator - Turning the Inside Out

Stephanie Höhn, Aurelia Honerkamp-Smith, Pierre Haas, Philipp Khuc Trong, and Raymond E. Goldstein

**Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics** University of Cambridge







Established by the European Commission

2014 Goldstein lab



### ... and can dance

Drescher et al (2010) PRL



Drescher et al (2010) PRL



# Chlamydomonas alga







~ 50 beats / sec

speed ~100  $\mu$ m/s

Goldstein et al (2011) PRL

### Chlamydomonas







#### Model organism for studying meta-chronal waves

Brumley et al (2012) PRL

### Superposition of singularities 2x stokeslet = stokeslet

F

$$p(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{F}}{4\pi r^2} + p_0$$
$$v_i(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{(8\pi\mu)^{-1}}{r} [\delta_{ij} + \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j] F_j$$

symmetric dipole

rotlet





 $r^{-2}$ 

flow ~  $r^{-1}$ 







## Volvox carteri

swimming speed ~ 100  $\mu$ m/sec







#### How does Volvox achieve phototaxis ?

Approach:

- light response of individual cells
- effects of size & spinning frequency
- mathematical modeling
- check predictions of model

## Experimental setup



**Fig. 1.** Geometry of *V. carteri* and experimental setup. (*A*) The beating flagella, two per somatic cell (*Inset*), create a fluid flow from the anterior to the posterior, with a slight azimuthal component that rotates *Volvox* about its posterior-anterior axis at angular frequency  $\omega_r$ . (Scale bar: 100 µm.) (*B*) Studies of the flagellar photoresponse utilize light sent down an optical fiber.

# Spectra of light sources



#### bright-field $\lambda$ >620, 100 fps



#### Photo-response at different intensities





### Adaptive photo-response

 $u_0 = 81 \ \mu m/s$ 



**Fig. 2.** Characteristics of the adaptive photoresponse. (A) The local flagellagenerated fluid speed u(t) (*Blue*), measured with PIV just above the flagella during a step up in light intensity, serves as a measure of flagellar activity. The baseline flow speed in the dark is  $u_0 = 81 \,\mu\text{m/s}$  for this dataset. Two time scales are evident: a short response time  $\tau_r$  and a longer adaptation time  $\tau_a$ . The fitted theoretical curve (*Red*) is from Eq. **4**. (*B*) The times  $\tau_r$  (*Squares*) and  $\tau_a$  (*Circles*) vary smoothly with the stimulus light intensity, measured in terms of PAR. Error bars are standard deviations.



I  $\mu$ m tracers I 0 $\mu$ m from cilium  $u(t) = average -30^{\circ} ... +30^{\circ}$ 



### Adaptive photo-response

 $u_0 = 81 \ \mu m/s$ 



 $\tau_r$ : Ca<sup>2+</sup>diffusion (?)  $L \sim 15 \mu m, D \sim 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$  $\tau_a$ : unknown

## Photo-response model

photo-response variable

$$u(t)/u_0 = 1 - \beta \dot{p}(t)$$

$$\tau_r \dot{p} = (s-h)H(s-h) - p,$$
  
$$\tau_a \dot{h} = s - h,$$

$$h(t) = s_1 e^{-t/\tau_a} + s_2 (1 - e^{-t/\tau_a}),$$
  
$$p(t) = \frac{(s_2 - s_1)}{1 - \tau_r/\tau_a} (e^{-t/\tau_a} - e^{-t/\tau_r}).$$

dunkel@math.mit.edu

 $u_0 = 81 \ \mu m/s$ 



s: stimulus input variable h: hidden biochemistry variable



### Heuristic response model





# Let's try to be more quantitative ...

# Frequency dependence of photo-response



**Fig. 3.** Photoresponse frequency dependence and colony rotation. (*A*) The normalized flagellar photoresponse for different frequencies of sinusoidal stimulation, with minimal and maximal light intensities of 1 and 20  $\mu$ mol PAR photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (*Blue Circles*). The theoretical response function (Eq. 5, *Red Line*) shows quantitative agreement, using  $\tau_r$  and  $\tau_a$  from Fig. 2*B* for 16  $\mu$ mol PAR photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.







# Spinning frequency vs size



(B) The rotation frequency  $\omega_r$  of V. carteri as a function of colony radius R. The highly phototactic organisms for which photoresponses were measured fall within the range of R indicated by the purple box, and the distribution of R can be transformed into an approximate probability distribution function (PDF) of  $\omega_r$  (Inset), by using the noisy curve of  $\omega_r(R)$ . The purple box in A marks the range of  $\omega_r$  in this PDF (green line indicates the mean), showing that the response time scales and colony rotation frequency are mutually optimized to maximize the photoresponse.

# Optimal response !





# How about spatial structure ?



### Front-back asymmetry

$$\mathbf{u} = v\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + w\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$
$$u(t)/u_0 = 1 - \beta p(t)$$

ratio  $v_0(\theta)/w_0(\theta)$  is constant on the colony surface





**Fig. 5.** Anterior-posterior asymmetry. (A) The anterior-posterior component of the fluid flow, measured 10  $\mu$ m above the beating flagella, following a step up in illumination at time t = 0 s. The dashed line indicates the approximation to  $v_0(\theta)$  used in the numerical model. (*Inset*)  $\beta(\theta)$  is blue (with *p* normalized to unity), and the mean  $\beta$  is red.

(B) The probability of flagella to

respond to light correlates with the size of the somatic cell eyespots. The light-induced decrease in fluid flow occurs beyond the region of flagellar response because of the nonlocality of fluid dynamics.



### Eye-spot measurements

#### anterior pole



**Fig. S5.** (*A*) The *V. carteri* somatic cells at the anterior pole have their orange eyespots facing away from the fluid-mechanical anterior pole. (*B*) The somatic cells and eyespots at polar angle  $\theta = 50^{\circ}$  from the anterior. (Scale bars: 20  $\mu$ m.) (*C*) Illustration of the eyespot placement in the somatic cells and the relation to the posterior-anterior axis **k**. In contrast to this schematic drawing, *V. carteri* colonies consist of thousands of somatic cells, as shown in Fig. 1*A* of the main text and as measured in ref. 20.



# Basic ingredients of a'full' model

- self-propulsion
- bottom-heaviness
- photo-response kinetics
- photo-response spatial variation

# Hydrodynamic model

Stone HA, Samuel ADT (1996) Propulsion of microorganisms by surface distortions. *Phys Rev Lett* 77:4102–4104.



## 'Simple' squirmer model



**Fig. 6.** Colony behavior during a phototurn. A-E show the colony axis **k** (*Red Arrow*) tipping toward the light direction **I** (*Aqua Arrow*). Colors represent the amplitude p(t) of the down-regulation of flagellar beating in a simplified model of phototactic steering. *F* shows the location of colonies in A-E along the swimming trajectory.

### 'Full' squirmer model



**Fig. S8.** The behavior of the photoresponse  $p(\theta, \phi, t)$  during a phototactic turn, using the full model defined in the main text, neglecting bottom-heaviness. *A*–*E* show the colony axis (*Red Arrow*) tipping toward the direction of light (*Aqua Arrow*) over time. The color scheme illustrates the magnitude of *p*. *F* shows the location of colonies in *A*–*E* along the swimming trajectory.



# Squirmer model



movie provided by K. Drescher

# Optimal response !





# Phototactic ability decreases with rotation frequency



**Fig. S6.** (A) Schematic diagram of the apparatus used for the population assay. B and C show distributions of the swimming angle with the light direction  $\sigma$  as measured for a population at the viscosity of water (B) and at 40 times the viscosity of water (C).

#### Phototactic ability decreases with rotation frequency

 $\mathcal{A} = (swimming speed toward the light)/(swimming speed)$ 



**Fig. 7.** The phototactic ability  $\mathscr{A}$  decreases dramatically as  $\omega_r$  is reduced by increasing the viscosity. Results from three representative populations are shown with distinct colors. Each data point represents the average phototactic ability of the population at a given viscosity. Horizontal error bars are standard deviations, whereas vertical error bars indicate the range of population mean values, when it is computed from 100 random selections of 0.1% of the data. A blue continuous line indicates the prediction of the full hydrodynamic model; the red line is obtained from the reduced model. (*Inset*)  $\alpha(t)$  from the full and reduced model at the lowest viscosity.



# Open questions

- not all somatic cells photo-responsive ... why ?
- what determines  $\tau_a$  ?
- chemotaxis vs phototaxis
- effects of (intrinsic) noise
- Chlamydomonas behave similarly ... generic ?
- artificial steering devices