

Metaplectic representations of Hecke algebras, Weyl group actions and associated polynomials

Joint work with Jasper Stokman and Vidya Venkateswaran

Siddhartha Sahi
Rutgers University, New Brunswick NJ

Probability and Symmetric Functions, MIT, August 22, 2019

Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series (WMDS)

- Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$; important in number theory

Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series (WMDS)

- Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$; important in number theory
- Satisfy functional equation; Multiplicativity \implies Euler product

Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series (WMDS)

- Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$; important in number theory
- Satisfy functional equation; Multiplicativity \implies Euler product
- Example: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$, $\hat{\zeta}(s) = \hat{\zeta}(1 - s)$

Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series (WMDS)

- Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$; important in number theory
- Satisfy functional equation; Multiplicativity \implies Euler product
- Example: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$, $\hat{\zeta}(s) = \hat{\zeta}(1-s)$
- WMDS are Dirichlet series in several variables.

Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series (WMDS)

- Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$; important in number theory
- Satisfy functional equation; Multiplicativity \implies Euler product
- Example: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$, $\hat{\zeta}(s) = \hat{\zeta}(1-s)$
- WMDS are Dirichlet series in several variables.
- Satisfy system of functional equations \sim Weyl group W

Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series (WMDS)

- Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$; important in number theory
- Satisfy functional equation; Multiplicativity \implies Euler product
- Example: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$, $\hat{\zeta}(s) = \hat{\zeta}(1-s)$
- WMDS are Dirichlet series in several variables.
- Satisfy system of functional equations \sim Weyl group W
- Historical: Siegel, Kazhdan-Patterson, Goldfeld-Hoffstein.

Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series (WMDS)

- Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$; important in number theory
- Satisfy functional equation; Multiplicativity \implies Euler product
- Example: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$, $\hat{\zeta}(s) = \hat{\zeta}(1-s)$
- WMDS are Dirichlet series in several variables.
- Satisfy system of functional equations \sim Weyl group W
- Historical: Siegel, Kazhdan-Patterson, Goldfeld-Hoffstein.
- Recent: Brubaker, Bump, Chinta, Friedberg, Gunnells.

Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series (WMDS)

- Dirichlet series $L(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$; important in number theory
- Satisfy functional equation; Multiplicativity \implies Euler product
- Example: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$, $\hat{\zeta}(s) = \hat{\zeta}(1-s)$
- WMDS are Dirichlet series in several variables.
- Satisfy system of functional equations \sim Weyl group W
- Historical: Siegel, Kazhdan-Patterson, Goldfeld-Hoffstein.
- Recent: Brubaker, Bump, Chinta, Friedberg, Gunnells.
- Related to Eisenstein series for “metaplectic” covers of adelic groups.

- WMDS are “twisted” multiplicative; determined by their “ p -parts”.

Our results

- WMDS are “twisted” multiplicative; determined by their “ p -parts”.
- Rational functions in p^{-s} and n th order Gauss sums g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .

Our results

- WMDS are “twisted” multiplicative; determined by their “ p -parts”.
- Rational functions in p^{-s} and n th order Gauss sums g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- Chinta-Gunnells gave a formula involving a “mysterious” W -action.

Our results

- WMDS are “twisted” multiplicative; determined by their “ p -parts”.
- Rational functions in p^{-s} and n th order Gauss sums g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- Chinta-Gunnells gave a formula involving a “mysterious” W -action.
- Not clear from the definition that it is a group action!

Our results

- WMDS are “twisted” multiplicative; determined by their “ p -parts”.
- Rational functions in p^{-s} and n th order Gauss sums g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- Chinta-Gunnells gave a formula involving a “mysterious” W -action.
- Not clear from the definition that it is a group action!
- C-G did a computer check and asked for a conceptual proof.

Our results

- WMDS are “twisted” multiplicative; determined by their “ p -parts”.
- Rational functions in p^{-s} and n th order Gauss sums g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- Chinta-Gunnells gave a formula involving a “mysterious” W -action.
- Not clear from the definition that it is a group action!
- C-G did a computer check and asked for a conceptual proof.
- We resolve this by a two-part process called “Baxterization”.

Our results

- WMDS are “twisted” multiplicative; determined by their “ p -parts”.
- Rational functions in p^{-s} and n th order Gauss sums g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- Chinta-Gunnells gave a formula involving a “mysterious” W -action.
- Not clear from the definition that it is a group action!
- C-G did a computer check and asked for a conceptual proof.
- We resolve this by a two-part process called “Baxterization”.
- We construct a representation of the (double) affine Hecke algebra and show the C-G action arises by a suitable localization.

Our results

- WMDS are “twisted” multiplicative; determined by their “ p -parts”.
- Rational functions in p^{-s} and n th order Gauss sums g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- Chinta-Gunnells gave a formula involving a “mysterious” W -action.
- Not clear from the definition that it is a group action!
- C-G did a computer check and asked for a conceptual proof.
- We resolve this by a two-part process called “Baxterization”.
- We construct a representation of the (double) affine Hecke algebra and show the C-G action arises by a suitable localization.
- This construction also yields a new family of “metaplectic” polynomials, which generalize Macdonald polynomials.

- $\Phi = \{\alpha\}$ root system, $\{\alpha_i\}$ simple roots, $\{s_i\}$ generators of W

Roots, weights and Weyl group

- $\Phi = \{\alpha\}$ root system, $\{\alpha_i\}$ simple roots, $\{s_i\}$ generators of W
- Coxeter presentation: $s_i^2 = 1$ + braid relations $s_i s_j s_i \cdots = s_j s_i s_j \cdots$

Roots, weights and Weyl group

- $\Phi = \{\alpha\}$ root system, $\{\alpha_i\}$ simple roots, $\{s_i\}$ generators of W
- Coxeter presentation: $s_i^2 = 1$ + braid relations $s_i s_j s_i \cdots = s_j s_i s_j \cdots$
- with 2, 3, 4, 6 terms, if $\#$ of (i, j) -edges in Coxeter graph is 0, 1, 2, 3.

Roots, weights and Weyl group

- $\Phi = \{\alpha\}$ root system, $\{\alpha_i\}$ simple roots, $\{s_i\}$ generators of W
- Coxeter presentation: $s_i^2 = 1$ + braid relations $s_i s_j s_i \cdots = s_j s_i s_j \cdots$
- with 2, 3, 4, 6 terms, if $\#$ of (i, j) -edges in Coxeter graph is 0, 1, 2, 3.
- Affine Weyl group = $W \ltimes P$, where $P = \{\lambda\}$ is the weight lattice.

Roots, weights and Weyl group

- $\Phi = \{\alpha\}$ root system, $\{\alpha_i\}$ simple roots, $\{s_i\}$ generators of W
- Coxeter presentation: $s_i^2 = 1$ + braid relations $s_i s_j s_i \cdots = s_j s_i s_j \cdots$
- with 2, 3, 4, 6 terms, if $\#$ of (i, j) -edges in Coxeter graph is 0, 1, 2, 3.
- Affine Weyl group = $W \ltimes P$, where $P = \{\lambda\}$ is the weight lattice.
- W also acts on $\mathbb{C}_x[P] = \langle x^\lambda \rangle$ group algebra, $\mathbb{C}_x(P)$ fraction field.

Chinta-Gunnells action

- Fix a bilinear form: \mathbf{B} on P , W -invariant, $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}(\lambda, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$

Chinta-Gunnells action

- Fix a bilinear form: \mathbf{B} on P , W -invariant, $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}(\lambda, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Fix a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (metaplectic degree) and set

$$P^n := \{\lambda \in P : \mathbf{B}(\lambda, \alpha_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$$

Chinta-Gunnells action

- Fix a bilinear form: \mathbf{B} on P , W -invariant, $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}(\lambda, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Fix a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (metaplectic degree) and set

$$P^n := \{\lambda \in P : \mathbf{B}(\lambda, \alpha_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$$

- $m(\alpha) := n / \gcd(n, \mathbf{Q}(\alpha))$; $0 \leq \mathbf{r}_m(j) < m$ remainder of j mod m

Chinta-Gunnells action

- Fix a bilinear form: \mathbf{B} on P , W -invariant, $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}(\lambda, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Fix a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (metaplectic degree) and set

$$P^n := \{\lambda \in P : \mathbf{B}(\lambda, \alpha_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$$

- $m(\alpha) := n / \gcd(n, \mathbf{Q}(\alpha))$; $0 \leq \mathbf{r}_m(j) < m$ remainder of j mod m
- Fix parameters: $v = k^2$ and $\{g_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying

$$g_0 = -1, \quad g_j g_{-j} = v^{-1} \text{ for } j \neq 0$$

Chinta-Gunnells action

- Fix a bilinear form: \mathbf{B} on P , W -invariant, $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}(\lambda, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Fix a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (metaplectic degree) and set

$$P^n := \{\lambda \in P : \mathbf{B}(\lambda, \alpha_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$$

- $m(\alpha) := n / \gcd(n, \mathbf{Q}(\alpha))$; $0 \leq r_m(j) < m$ remainder of j mod m
- Fix parameters: $v = k^2$ and $\{g_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying

$$g_0 = -1, \quad g_j g_{-j} = v^{-1} \text{ for } j \neq 0$$

- For $f \in \mathbb{C}_x(P^n)$ and $\lambda \in P$ define

$$\sigma_i(fx^\lambda) := s_i(fx^\lambda) \times \left(1 - vx^{m(\alpha_i)\alpha_i}\right)^{-1} \times \left[x^{-r_m(\alpha_i)} \left[-\frac{\mathbf{B}(\lambda, \alpha_i)}{\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_i)} \right] \alpha_i [1 - v] - v g_{\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_i) - \mathbf{B}(\lambda, \alpha_i)} x^{[1 - m(\alpha_i)]\alpha_i} \left[1 - x^{m(\alpha_i)\alpha_i} \right] \right]$$

Chinta-Gunnells action

- Fix a bilinear form: \mathbf{B} on P , W -invariant, $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}(\lambda, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Fix a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (metaplectic degree) and set

$$P^n := \{\lambda \in P : \mathbf{B}(\lambda, \alpha_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$$

- $m(\alpha) := n / \gcd(n, \mathbf{Q}(\alpha))$; $0 \leq r_m(j) < m$ remainder of j mod m
- Fix parameters: $v = k^2$ and $\{g_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying

$$g_0 = -1, \quad g_j g_{-j} = v^{-1} \text{ for } j \neq 0$$

- For $f \in \mathbb{C}_x(P^n)$ and $\lambda \in P$ define

$$\sigma_i(fx^\lambda) := s_i(fx^\lambda) \times \left(1 - vx^{m(\alpha_i)\alpha_i}\right)^{-1} \times \left[x^{-r_m(\alpha_i)} \left[-\frac{\mathbf{B}(\lambda, \alpha_i)}{\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_i)} \right] \alpha_i [1 - v] - v g_{\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_i) - \mathbf{B}(\lambda, \alpha_i)} x^{[1 - m(\alpha_i)]\alpha_i} \left[1 - x^{m(\alpha_i)\alpha_i} \right] \right]$$

- Theorem (C-G). This defines an action of W on $\mathbb{C}_x(P)$.

- H generated by $\{T_i\}$, $(T_i - k)(T_i + k^{-1}) = 0$ + braid relations

- H generated by $\{T_i\}$, $(T_i - k)(T_i + k^{-1}) = 0$ + braid relations
- Reflection representation: Vector space U , basis $\{u_\mu : \mu \in P\}$

$$T_i u_\mu = \begin{cases} u_{s_i \mu} & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha_i^\vee) > 0 \\ k u_\mu & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha_i^\vee) = 0 \\ (k - k^{-1})u_\mu + u_{s_i \mu} & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha_i^\vee) < 0 \end{cases}$$

- H generated by $\{T_i\}$, $(T_i - k)(T_i + k^{-1}) = 0$ + braid relations
- Reflection representation: Vector space U , basis $\{u_\mu : \mu \in P\}$

$$T_i u_\mu = \begin{cases} u_{s_i \mu} & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha_i^\vee) > 0 \\ k u_\mu & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha_i^\vee) = 0 \\ (k - k^{-1})u_\mu + u_{s_i \mu} & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha_i^\vee) < 0 \end{cases}$$

- Let $C := \{\lambda \in P \mid (\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \leq m(\alpha) \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi\}$; C is W -stable

- H generated by $\{T_i\}$, $(T_i - k)(T_i + k^{-1}) = 0$ + braid relations
- Reflection representation: Vector space U , basis $\{u_\mu : \mu \in P\}$

$$T_i u_\mu = \begin{cases} u_{s_i \mu} & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha_i^\vee) > 0 \\ k u_\mu & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha_i^\vee) = 0 \\ (k - k^{-1})u_\mu + u_{s_i \mu} & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha_i^\vee) < 0 \end{cases}$$

- Let $C := \{\lambda \in P \mid (\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \leq m(\alpha) \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi\}$; C is W -stable
- $U_C := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in C} \mathbb{C} u_\lambda$ is an H -submodule of V

- Recall $m(\alpha) := n / \gcd(n, \mathbf{Q}(\alpha))$, define $\alpha^n := m(\alpha)\alpha$

Affine Hecke algebra

- Recall $m(\alpha) := n / \gcd(n, \mathbf{Q}(\alpha))$, define $\alpha^n := m(\alpha)\alpha$
- Then $\Phi^n := \{\alpha^n : \alpha \in \Phi\}$ is a root system, with weight lattice $P^n := \{\mu \in P : \mathbf{B}(\mu, \alpha_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$

Affine Hecke algebra

- Recall $m(\alpha) := n / \gcd(n, \mathbf{Q}(\alpha))$, define $\alpha^n := m(\alpha)\alpha$
- Then $\Phi^n := \{\alpha^n : \alpha \in \Phi\}$ is a root system, with weight lattice $P^n := \{\mu \in P : \mathbf{B}(\mu, \alpha_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$
- P^n group algebra: $\mathbf{C}_Y := \mathbf{C}_Y[P^n] = \langle Y^\mu : \mu \in P^n \rangle$

Affine Hecke algebra

- Recall $m(\alpha) := n / \gcd(n, \mathbf{Q}(\alpha))$, define $\alpha^n := m(\alpha)\alpha$
- Then $\Phi^n := \{\alpha^n : \alpha \in \Phi\}$ is a root system, with weight lattice $P^n := \{\mu \in P : \mathbf{B}(\mu, \alpha_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$
- P^n group algebra: $\mathbf{C}_Y := \mathbf{C}_Y[P^n] = \langle Y^\mu : \mu \in P^n \rangle$
- Affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^n = \langle H, \mathbf{C}_Y \rangle$ with relations

$$T_i Y^\mu - Y^{s_i \mu} T_i = (k - k^{-1}) \left(\frac{Y^{s_i \mu} - Y^\mu}{Y^{\alpha_i^n} - 1} \right)$$

Affine Hecke algebra

- Recall $m(\alpha) := n / \gcd(n, \mathbf{Q}(\alpha))$, define $\alpha^n := m(\alpha)\alpha$
- Then $\Phi^n := \{\alpha^n : \alpha \in \Phi\}$ is a root system, with weight lattice $P^n := \{\mu \in P : \mathbf{B}(\mu, \alpha_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$
- P^n group algebra: $\mathbf{C}_Y := \mathbf{C}_Y[P^n] = \langle Y^\mu : \mu \in P^n \rangle$
- Affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^n = \langle H, \mathbf{C}_Y \rangle$ with relations

$$T_i Y^\mu - Y^{s_i \mu} T_i = (k - k^{-1}) \left(\frac{Y^{s_i \mu} - Y^\mu}{Y^{\alpha_i^n} - 1} \right)$$

- Induced representation: $\pi = \text{Ind}_H^{\mathcal{H}}(U_C)$ realized on $N_C = U_C \otimes \mathbf{C}_Y$

Metaplectic representation

- Recall $v = k^2$ and $\{g_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$: $g_0 = -1$, $g_j g_{-j} = v^{-1}$ for $j \neq 0$

Metaplectic representation

- Recall $v = k^2$ and $\{g_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$: $g_0 = -1$, $g_j g_{-j} = v^{-1}$ for $j \neq 0$
- For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\gamma_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ k^{-1} & \text{if } j \in n\mathbb{Z}_{<0} \\ -kg_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Metaplectic representation

- Recall $v = k^2$ and $\{g_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$: $g_0 = -1$, $g_j g_{-j} = v^{-1}$ for $j \neq 0$
- For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\gamma_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ k^{-1} & \text{if } j \in n\mathbb{Z}_{<0} \\ -kg_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- For $\lambda \in C$ define $\gamma(\lambda) := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \gamma_{\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)(\lambda, \alpha^\vee)}$

Metaplectic representation

- Recall $v = k^2$ and $\{g_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$: $g_0 = -1$, $g_j g_{-j} = v^{-1}$ for $j \not\equiv 0$
- For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\gamma_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ k^{-1} & \text{if } j \in n\mathbb{Z}_{<0} \\ -kg_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- For $\lambda \in C$ define $\gamma(\lambda) := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \gamma_{\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)(\lambda, \alpha^\vee)}$
- Define a surjective map $N_C = U_C \otimes \mathbf{C}_Y [P^n] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{C}_x [P]$ by

$$\psi(u_\lambda \otimes Y^\mu) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\lambda)} x^{\lambda+\mu}$$

Metaplectic representation

- Recall $v = k^2$ and $\{g_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$: $g_0 = -1$, $g_j g_{-j} = v^{-1}$ for $j \neq 0$
- For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\gamma_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ k^{-1} & \text{if } j \in n\mathbb{Z}_{<0} \\ -kg_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- For $\lambda \in C$ define $\gamma(\lambda) := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \gamma_{\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)(\lambda, \alpha^\vee)}$
- Define a surjective map $N_C = U_C \otimes \mathbf{C}_Y [P^n] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{C}_x [P]$ by

$$\psi(u_\lambda \otimes Y^\mu) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\lambda)} x^{\lambda+\mu}$$

Theorem (S.-Stokman-Venkateswaran)

The kernel of ψ is stable under (π, \mathcal{H})

Metaplectic representation

- Recall $v = k^2$ and $\{g_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$: $g_0 = -1$, $g_j g_{-j} = v^{-1}$ for $j \neq 0$
- For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\gamma_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ k^{-1} & \text{if } j \in n\mathbb{Z}_{<0} \\ -kg_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- For $\lambda \in C$ define $\gamma(\lambda) := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \gamma_{\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)(\lambda, \alpha^\vee)}$
- Define a surjective map $N_C = U_C \otimes \mathbf{C}_Y [P^n] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{C}_x [P]$ by

$$\psi(u_\lambda \otimes Y^\mu) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\lambda)} x^{\lambda+\mu}$$

Theorem (S.-Stokman-Venkateswaran)

The kernel of ψ is stable under (π, \mathcal{H})

- Metaplectic rep. ω : Quotient action of \mathcal{H} on $\mathbf{C}_x [P]$

- Recall $\mathcal{H} = \langle H, \mathbf{C}_Y [P^n] \rangle$, localization $\mathcal{H}_{loc} := \langle H, \mathbf{C}_Y (P^n) \rangle$

Baxterization

- Recall $\mathcal{H} = \langle H, \mathbf{C}_Y [P^n] \rangle$, localization $\mathcal{H}_{loc} := \langle H, \mathbf{C}_Y (P^n) \rangle$
- Localization of affine group algebra $\mathcal{A}_{loc} := \langle \mathbf{C} [W], \mathbf{C}_Y (P^n) \rangle$

- Recall $\mathcal{H} = \langle H, \mathbf{C}_Y [P^n] \rangle$, localization $\mathcal{H}_{loc} := \langle H, \mathbf{C}_Y (P^n) \rangle$
- Localization of affine group algebra $\mathcal{A}_{loc} := \langle \mathbf{C} [W], \mathbf{C}_Y (P^n) \rangle$

Theorem (Localization)

The identity on $\mathbf{C}_Y (P^n)$ extends to an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{loc} \approx \mathcal{H}_{loc}$, with $s_i \mapsto c_i (Y) (T_i - k) + 1$ where $c_i (Y) = (1 - Y^{\alpha_i^n}) / (k^{-1} - kY^{\alpha_i^n})$.

- Recall $\mathcal{H} = \langle H, \mathbf{C}_Y [P^n] \rangle$, localization $\mathcal{H}_{loc} := \langle H, \mathbf{C}_Y (P^n) \rangle$
- Localization of affine group algebra $\mathcal{A}_{loc} := \langle \mathbf{C} [W], \mathbf{C}_Y (P^n) \rangle$

Theorem (Localization)

The identity on $\mathbf{C}_Y (P^n)$ extends to an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{loc} \approx \mathcal{H}_{loc}$, with $s_i \mapsto c_i (Y) (T_i - k) + 1$ where $c_i (Y) = (1 - Y^{\alpha_i}) / (k^{-1} - kY^{\alpha_i})$.

Theorem (S.-Stokman-Venkateswaran)

The metaplectic representation ω of \mathcal{H} on $\mathbf{C}_x [P]$ extends (uniquely) to a representation ω_{loc} of \mathcal{H}_{loc} on $\mathbf{C}_x (P)$. The action of W induced by the isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{loc} \approx \mathcal{H}_{loc}$ coincides with the CG action.

Double affine Hecke algebras

Theorem (S.-Stokman-Venkateswaran)

ϖ can be extended to the double affine Hecke algebra.

Theorem (S.-Stokman-Venkateswaran)

\mathcal{O} can be extended to the double affine Hecke algebra.

- This leads to Cherednik operators and “metaplectic” polynomials depending on additional parameters g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .

Theorem (S.-Stokman-Venkateswaran)

ω can be extended to the double affine Hecke algebra.

- This leads to Cherednik operators and “metaplectic” polynomials depending on additional parameters g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- For $n = 1$, these are the “usual” nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials

Theorem (S.-Stokman-Venkateswaran)

ω can be extended to the double affine Hecke algebra.

- This leads to Cherednik operators and “metaplectic” polynomials depending on additional parameters g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- For $n = 1$, these are the “usual” nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials
- The p -parts of WMDS are Whittaker functions for p -adic groups

Theorem (S.-Stokman-Venkateswaran)

ω can be extended to the double affine Hecke algebra.

- This leads to Cherednik operators and “metaplectic” polynomials depending on additional parameters g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- For $n = 1$, these are the “usual” nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials
- The p -parts of WMDS are Whittaker functions for p -adic groups
- For $n = 1$, by the Casselman-Shalika formula they are specializations of Macdonald polynomials

Theorem (S.-Stokman-Venkateswaran)

ω can be extended to the double affine Hecke algebra.

- This leads to Cherednik operators and “metaplectic” polynomials depending on additional parameters g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- For $n = 1$, these are the “usual” nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials
- The p -parts of WMDS are Whittaker functions for p -adic groups
- For $n = 1$, by the Casselman-Shalika formula they are specializations of Macdonald polynomials
- For general n the metaplectic polynomials bear an analogous relationship with p -parts of WMDS.

Theorem (S.-Stokman-Venkateswaran)

ω can be extended to the double affine Hecke algebra.

- This leads to Cherednik operators and “metaplectic” polynomials depending on additional parameters g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} .
- For $n = 1$, these are the “usual” nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials
- The p -parts of WMDS are Whittaker functions for p -adic groups
- For $n = 1$, by the Casselman-Shalika formula they are specializations of Macdonald polynomials
- For general n the metaplectic polynomials bear an analogous relationship with p -parts of WMDS.
- One can similarly obtain symmetric metaplectic polynomials, which generalize symmetric Macdonald polynomials

Some Metaplectic polynomials for $GL(3)$

Formulas for $E_{\lambda}^{(n)}(x)$, $1 \leq n \leq 5$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ of weight at most 2.

$$E_{(0,0,0)}^{(1)}(x) = 1$$

$$E_{(0,0,0)}^{(2)}(x) = 1$$

$$E_{(0,0,0)}^{(3)}(x) = 1$$

$$E_{(0,0,0)}^{(4)}(x) = 1$$

$$E_{(0,0,0)}^{(5)}(x) = 1$$

$$E_{(1,0,0)}^{(1)}(x) = x_1$$

$$E_{(1,0,0)}^{(2)}(x) = x_1$$

$$E_{(1,0,0)}^{(3)}(x) = x_1$$

$$E_{(1,0,0)}^{(4)}(x) = x_1$$

$$E_{(1,0,0)}^{(5)}(x) = x_1$$

Some Metaplectic polynomials for GL(3)

$$E_{(0,1,0)}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{k^4 q - 1} x_1 + x_2$$

$$E_{(0,1,0)}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{k(kq^2 + \epsilon)} x_1 + x_2$$

$$E_{(0,1,0)}^{(3)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^4 g_1^3 q^3 + 1} x_1 + x_2$$

$$E_{(0,1,0)}^{(4)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^4 g_1^3 q^4 + 1} x_1 + x_2$$

$$E_{(0,1,0)}^{(5)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^4 g_1^3 q^5 + 1} x_1 + x_2$$

$$E_{(0,0,1)}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{qk^2 - 1} x_1 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{qk^2 - 1} x_2 + x_3$$

$$E_{(0,0,1)}^{(2)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{k(k + \epsilon q^2)} x_1 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{q^2 + \epsilon k} x_2 + x_3$$

$$E_{(0,0,1)}^{(3)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1^2}{k^2 g_1^3 q^3 + 1} x_1 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^2 g_1^3 q^3 + 1} x_2 + x_3$$

$$E_{(0,0,1)}^{(4)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1^2}{k^2 g_1^3 q^4 + 1} x_1 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^2 g_1^3 q^4 + 1} x_2 + x_3$$

$$E_{(0,0,1)}^{(5)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1^2}{k^2 g_1^3 q^5 + 1} x_1 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^2 g_1^3 q^5 + 1} x_2 + x_3$$

Some Metaplectic polynomials for $GL(3)$

$$E_{(0,1,1)}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{qk^2-1}x_1x_2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{qk^2-1}x_3x_1 + x_3x_2$$

$$E_{(0,1,1)}^{(2)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{k(k+\epsilon q^2)}x_1x_2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{q^2+\epsilon k}x_3x_1 + x_3x_2$$

$$E_{(0,1,1)}^{(3)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1^2}{k^2g_1^3q^3+1}x_1x_2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^2g_1^3q^3+1}x_3x_1 + x_3x_2$$

$$E_{(0,1,1)}^{(4)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1^2}{k^2g_1^3q^4+1}x_1x_2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^2g_1^3q^4+1}x_3x_1 + x_3x_2$$

$$E_{(0,1,1)}^{(5)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1^2}{k^2g_1^3q^5+1}x_1x_2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^2g_1^3q^5+1}x_3x_1 + x_3x_2$$

$$E_{(1,0,1)}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{k^4q-1}x_1x_2 + x_3x_1$$

$$E_{(1,0,1)}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{k(kq^2+\epsilon)}x_1x_2 + x_3x_1$$

$$E_{(1,0,1)}^{(3)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^4g_1^3q^3+1}x_1x_2 + x_3x_1$$

$$E_{(1,0,1)}^{(4)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^4g_1^3q^4+1}x_1x_2 + x_3x_1$$

$$E_{(1,0,1)}^{(5)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^4g_1^3q^5+1}x_1x_2 + x_3x_1$$

Some Metaplectic polynomials for $GL(3)$

$$E_{(1,1,0)}^{(1)}(x) = x_1 x_2$$

$$E_{(1,1,0)}^{(2)}(x) = x_1 x_2$$

$$E_{(1,1,0)}^{(3)}(x) = x_1 x_2$$

$$E_{(1,1,0)}^{(4)}(x) = x_1 x_2$$

$$E_{(1,1,0)}^{(5)}(x) = x_1 x_2$$

$$E_{(2,0,0)}^{(1)}(x) = x_1^2 + \frac{q(k-1)(k+1)}{qk^2-1} x_1 x_2 + \frac{q(k-1)(k+1)}{qk^2-1} x_3 x_1$$

$$E_{(2,0,0)}^{(2)}(x) = x_1^2$$

$$E_{(2,0,0)}^{(3)}(x) = x_1^2$$

$$E_{(2,0,0)}^{(4)}(x) = x_1^2$$

$$E_{(2,0,0)}^{(5)}(x) = x_1^2$$

Some Metaplectic polynomials for $GL(3)$

$$E_{(0,2,0)}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{(qk^2-1)(qk^2+1)}x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)(k^4q^2+qk^2-q-1)}{(qk^2+1)(qk^2-1)^2}x_1x_2 + \frac{(k-1)^2(k+1)^2q}{(qk^2+1)(qk^2-1)^2}x_3x_1 + \frac{q(k-1)(k+1)}{qk^2-1}x_3x_2$$

$$E_{(0,2,0)}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{(q^2k^2-1)(q^2k^2+1)}x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

$$E_{(0,2,0)}^{(3)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1^2}{k^2g_1^3+q^6}x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

$$E_{(0,2,0)}^{(4)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{k(q^8k+\epsilon)}x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

$$E_{(0,2,0)}^{(5)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_2}{k^4g_2^3q^{10}+1}x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

Some Metaplectic polynomials for $GL(3)$

$$E_{(0,0,2)}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{(kq-1)(kq+1)}x_1^2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{(kq-1)(kq+1)}x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \frac{(q+1)(k-1)^2(k+1)^2}{(kq-1)(kq+1)(qk^2-1)}x_1x_2 + \frac{(q+1)(k-1)(k+1)}{(kq-1)(kq+1)}x_3x_1 + \frac{(q+1)(k-1)(k+1)}{(kq-1)(kq+1)}x_3x_2$$

$$E_{(0,0,2)}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{(kq^2-1)(kq^2+1)}x_1^2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{(kq^2-1)(kq^2+1)}x_2^2 + x_3^2$$

$$E_{(0,0,2)}^{(3)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_1}{k^4g_1^3+q^6}x_1^2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)k^2g_1^2}{k^4g_1^3+q^6}x_2^2 + x_3^2$$

$$E_{(0,0,2)}^{(4)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{k(\epsilon q^8+k)}x_1^2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{q^8+\epsilon k}x_2^2 + x_3^2$$

$$E_{(0,0,2)}^{(5)}(x) = -\frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_2^2}{k^2g_2^3q^{10}+1}x_1^2 + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)g_2}{k^2g_2^3q^{10}+1}x_2^2 + x_3^2$$