A dynamical system related to GIT

Nolan R. Wallach

June 4,2015

A gradient system

- Let $\phi \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ be a polynomial that is homogeneous of degree m such that $\phi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We consider the gradient system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\nabla\phi(x)$$

A gradient system

- Let $\phi \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ be a polynomial that is homogeneous of degree m such that $\phi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We consider the gradient system
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\nabla\phi(x)$$
- Note that
$$\langle \nabla\phi(x), x \rangle = m\phi(x)$$
Denoting by $F(t, x)$ the solution to the system near $t = 0$ with $F(0, x) = x$. Then
$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle F(t, x), F(t, x) \rangle = -2 \langle \nabla\phi(F(t, x)), F(t, x) \rangle$$
$$= -2m\phi(F(t, x)) \leq 0.$$

- This implies $\|F(t,x)\| \leq \|x\|$ where defined for $t \geq 0$ and hence $F(t,x)$ is defined for all $t \geq 0$.

- This implies $\|F(t,x)\| \leq \|x\|$ where defined for $t \geq 0$ and hence $F(t,x)$ is defined for all $t \geq 0$.
- The formula

$$\langle \nabla\phi(x), x\rangle = m\phi(x)$$

combined with the Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\|\nabla\phi(x)\| \, \|x\| \geq m\phi(x).$$

- This implies $\|F(t,x)\| \leq \|x\|$ where defined for $t \geq 0$ and hence $F(t,x)$ is defined for all $t \geq 0$.
- The formula

$$\langle \nabla\phi(x), x\rangle = m\phi(x)$$

combined with the Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\|\nabla\phi(x)\| \, \|x\| \geq m\phi(x).$$

- The Lojasiewicz gradient inequality implies the following improvement. There exists $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{m-1}$ and $C > 0$ both depending only on ϕ such that

$$\|\nabla\phi(x)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \|x\|^{1-(m-1)\varepsilon} \geq C\phi(x).$$

- We take ε and C as above (but allow $\varepsilon = 0$ which is easy). If we write F for $F(t, X)$ and $H(t) = \phi(F(t, x))$ then we have

$$H'(t) = -d\phi(F)\nabla\phi(F) = -\left\|\nabla\phi(F)\right\|^2.$$

- We take ε and C as above (but allow $\varepsilon = 0$ which is easy). If we write F for $F(t, X)$ and $H(t) = \phi(F(t, x))$ then we have

$$H'(t) = -d\phi(F)\nabla\phi(F) = -\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^2.$$

- If $t \geq 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} r^{1-(m-1)\varepsilon} \geq \|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \|F\|^{1-(m-1)\varepsilon} \geq C\phi(x).$$

- We take ε and C as above (but allow $\varepsilon = 0$ which is easy). If we write F for $F(t, X)$ and $H(t) = \phi(F(t, x))$ then we have

$$H'(t) = -d\phi(F)\nabla\phi(F) = -\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^2 .$$

- If $t \geq 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} r^{1-(m-1)\varepsilon} \geq \|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \|F\|^{1-(m-1)\varepsilon} \geq C\phi(x).$$

- We will now run through what has come to be called "the Lojasiewicz argument" which I learned from a beautiful exposition of Neeman's theorem by Gerry Schwarz.

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\phi(F).$$

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\phi(F).$$

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{2} \geq \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}\phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\phi(F).$$

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{2} \geq \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}\phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

- $$|H'(t)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}\phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} = C_1(r)H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\phi(F).$$
- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{2} \geq \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}\phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$
- $$|H'(t)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}\phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} = C_1(r)H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$
- Since $H'(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ we have $-H'(t) \geq C_1(r)H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}$. Assuming $H(t) > 0$ we have

- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{1+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\phi(F).$$
- $$\|\nabla\phi(F)\|^{2} \geq \left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}\phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$
- $$|H'(t)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{C}{r^{1-3\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}\phi(F)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}} = C_1(r)H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}.$$
- Since $H'(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ we have $-H'(t) \geq C_1(r)H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}$. Assuming $H(t) > 0$ we have
- $$\frac{d}{dt}H(t)^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} = -\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\frac{H'(t)}{H(t)^{\frac{2}{1+\varepsilon}}} \geq C_1(r)$$

- $$H(t)^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \geq C_1(r)t.$$

- $$H(t)^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \geq C_1(r)t.$$
- $$H(t) \leq C_2(r)t^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}} \leq C_2(r)t^{-(1+\varepsilon)},$$

- $$H(t)^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \geq C_1(r)t.$$
- $$H(t) \leq C_2(r)t^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}} \leq C_2(r)t^{-(1+\varepsilon)},$$
- This is true if $H(t) = 0$ so the formula is valid for all $t > 0$.

- $$H(t)^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \geq C_1(r)t.$$
- $$H(t) \leq C_2(r)t^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}} \leq C_2(r)t^{-(1+\varepsilon)},$$
- This is true if $H(t) = 0$ so the formula is valid for all $t > 0$.
- This is the first half of the calculus part of the Lojasiewicz argument. The first implication needs only the easy case $\varepsilon = 0$. If $\|x\| \leq r$ then

  $$\phi(F(t,x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}$$

  so $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \phi(F(t,x)) = 0$ uniformly for x in compacta. We now do the rest of the Lojasiewicz argument which uses the existence of $\varepsilon > 0$.

- Let $f(t) = t^{1+\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ then for $t > 0$

$$0 < H(t)f'(t) \leq C_2(r)(1+\delta)t^{-1-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

- Let $f(t) = t^{1+\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ then for $t > 0$

$$0 < H(t)f'(t) \leq C_2(r)(1+\delta)t^{-1-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

- $$H(s)f(s) - H(t)f(t) = \int_t^s \frac{d}{du}(H(u)f(u))du =$$

$$\int_t^s H(u)f'(u)du + \int_t^s H'(u)f(u)du.$$

- Let $f(t) = t^{1+\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ then for $t > 0$

$$0 < H(t)f'(t) \leq C_2(r)(1+\delta)t^{-1-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

- $$H(s)f(s) - H(t)f(t) = \int_t^s \frac{d}{du}(H(u)f(u))du =$$

$$\int_t^s H(u)f'(u)du + \int_t^s H'(u)f(u)du.$$

- $$-\int_t^s H'(u)f(u)du = \int_t^s H(u)f'(u)du + H(t)f(t) - H(s)f(s).$$

$$0 \leq H(s)f(s) \leq C_2(r)s^{-(1+\varepsilon)}s^{1+\delta} = C_2(r)s^{-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

- Let $f(t) = t^{1+\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ then for $t > 0$

$$0 < H(t)f'(t) \leq C_2(r)(1+\delta)t^{-1-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

- $$H(s)f(s) - H(t)f(t) = \int_t^s \frac{d}{du}(H(u)f(u))du =$$

$$\int_t^s H(u)f'(u)du + \int_t^s H'(u)f(u)du.$$

- $$-\int_t^s H'(u)f(u)du = \int_t^s H(u)f'(u)du + H(t)f(t) - H(s)f(s).$$

$$0 \leq H(s)f(s) \leq C_2(r)s^{-(1+\varepsilon)}s^{1+\delta} = C_2(r)s^{-(\varepsilon-\delta)}.$$

- $$\lim_{s\to+\infty} \int_t^s \left|H'(u)\right| f(u)du = \int_t^\infty H(u)f'(u)du + H(t)f(t).$$

- Thus $\sqrt{\left\vert H'(u)\right\vert f(u)}$ is in $L^2([t,+\infty))$ for all $t>0$ and so
$$\sqrt{\left\vert H'(u)\right\vert}=\sqrt{\left\vert H'(u)\right\vert f(u)}u^{-\frac{(1+\delta)}{2}}\in L^1([t,+\infty)).$$

- Thus $\sqrt{|H'(u)| f(u)}$ is in $L^2([t,+\infty))$ for all $t > 0$ and so
$$\sqrt{|H'(u)|} = \sqrt{|H'(u)| f(u)} u^{-\frac{(1+\delta)}{2}} \in L^1([t,+\infty)).$$
- **Theorem.** If $t > 0$ then
$$\int_t^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{d}{du} F(u,x) \right\| du$$
converges uniformly for $\|x\| \leq r$.

- Thus $\sqrt{|H'(u)|\, f(u)}$ is in $L^2([t,+\infty))$ for all $t>0$ and so
$$\sqrt{|H'(u)|} = \sqrt{|H'(u)|\, f(u)}\, u^{-\frac{(1+\delta)}{2}} \in L^1([t,+\infty)).$$
- **Theorem.** If $t>0$ then
$$\int_t^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{d}{du} F(u,x) \right\| du$$
converges uniformly for $\|x\| \leq r$.
- $$\int_t^{\infty} \frac{d}{du} F(u,x) du$$
converges absolutely and uniformly for $\|x\| \leq r$.

- Thus $\sqrt{|H'(u)|\,f(u)}$ is in $L^2([t,+\infty))$ for all $t>0$ and so
$$\sqrt{|H'(u)|}=\sqrt{|H'(u)|\,f(u)}u^{-\frac{(1+\delta)}{2}}\in L^1([t,+\infty)).$$
- **Theorem.** If $t>0$ then
$$\int_t^{+\infty}\left\|\frac{d}{du}F(u,x)\right\|du$$
converges uniformly for $\|x\|\leq r$.
- $$\int_t^{\infty}\frac{d}{du}F(u,x)du$$
converges absolutely and uniformly for $\|x\|\leq r$.
- Noting that if $s>t$ then
$$\int_t^{s}\frac{d}{du}F(u,x)du=F(s,x)-F(t,x)$$
we have for $t>0$
$$\lim_{s\to\infty}F(s,x)=\int_t^{\infty}\frac{d}{du}F(u,x)du+F(t,x).$$

- Finally, set $L(t,x) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, x)$ and define $L(1,x)$ by the limit above then $L : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and since

$$\nabla\phi(x) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \phi(x) = 0$$

we have

- Finally, set $L(t,x) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, x)$ and define $L(1,x)$ by the limit above then $L : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and since

$$\nabla\phi(x) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \phi(x) = 0$$

we have

- **Theorem.** $L : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defines a strong deformation retraction of $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto $Y = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \phi(x) = 0\}$.

- Finally, set $L(t,x) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, x)$ and define $L(1,x)$ by the limit above then $L : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and since

$$\nabla\phi(x) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \phi(x) = 0$$

  we have
- **Theorem.** $L : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defines a strong deformation retraction of $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto $Y = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \phi(x) = 0\}$.
- **Corollary.** If $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and such that $F(t,z) \in Z$ for $t \geq 0$ and $z \in Z$ then $H : [0,1] \times Z \to Z$ defines a strong deformation retraction of Z onto $Z \cap Y$.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product,$\langle ..., ... \rangle$, $g \to g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} =$$Lie(K)^{\perp}$ relative to this inner product.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle ..., ... \rangle$, $g \to g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} = Lie(K)^{\perp}$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G-critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle ..., ... \rangle$, $g \to g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} =$$Lie(K)^\perp$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G-critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.
- **Theorem.** Let G, K be as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle ..., ... \rangle$, $g \to g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p}$ $=Lie(K)^{\perp}$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G-critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.
- **Theorem.** Let G, K be as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

1. If v is critical if and only if $\|gv\| \geq \|v\|$for all $g \in G$.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle ..., ...\rangle$, $g \to g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y\rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} =Lie(K)^\perp$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G-critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v\rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.
- **Theorem.** Let G, K be as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

1. If v is critical if and only if $\|gv\| \geq \|v\|$for all $g \in G$.
2. If v is critical and if $w \in Gv$ is such that $\|v\| = \|w\|$then $w \in Kv$.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product,$\langle ..., ... \rangle$, $g \to g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} =Lie(K)^{\perp}$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G-critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.
- **Theorem.** Let G, K be as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

1. If v is critical if and only if $\|gv\| \geq \|v\|$for all $g \in G$.
2. If v is critical and if $w \in Gv$ is such that $\|v\| = \|w\|$then $w \in Kv$.
3. If Gv is closed then there exists a critical element in Gv.

Kempf-Ness over the reals

- Let G be an open subgroup of a Zariski closed subgroup of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ that is closed under real adjoint relative to the standard inner product, $\langle ..., ... \rangle$, $g \to g^*$. Let $K = G \cap O(n)$. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. On $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ we put the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = tr(XY^*)$, Set $\mathfrak{p} = Lie(K)^\perp$ relative to this inner product.
- We say that an element $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is G-critical if for any $X \in Lie(G)$, $\langle Xv, v \rangle = 0$. The following is an extension of the Kempf-Ness Theorem first observed by Richardson and Slodoway.
- **Theorem.** Let G, K be as above. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

1. If v is critical if and only if $\|gv\| \geq \|v\|$for all $g \in G$.
2. If v is critical and if $w \in Gv$ is such that $\|v\| = \|w\|$then $w \in Kv$.
3. If Gv is closed then there exists a critical element in Gv.
4. If v is critical then Gv is closed.

- We set $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ as a G–module and $Crit_G(V)$ equal to the set of all critical vectors. If $X_1, ..., X_r$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{p}$ then

$$\phi(v) = \sum \langle X_j v, v \rangle^2$$

is non-negative homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 defining $Crit_G(V)$.

- We set $V=\mathbb{R}^n$ as a G–module and $Crit_G(V)$ equal to the set of all critical vectors. If $X_1, ..., X_r$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{p}$ then

$$\phi(v) = \sum \langle X_j v, v\rangle^2$$

is non-negative homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 defining $Crit_G(V)$.

- We consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ as $n \times 1$ columns and thus if $v \in V$ then v^* is v as a row vector. So for $v, w \in V$, vw^* is an $n \times n$ matrix and

$$\langle Xv, w\rangle = \mathrm{tr} Xvw^*.$$

- We set $V=\mathbb{R}^n$ as a G–module and $Crit_G(V)$ equal to the set of all critical vectors. If $X_1,...,X_r$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{p}$ then

$$\phi(v)=\sum\langle X_j v,v\rangle^2$$

is non-negative homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 defining $Crit_G(V)$.

- We consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ as $n\times 1$ columns and thus if $v\in V$ then v^* is v as a row vector. So for $v,w\in V$, vw^* is an $n\times n$ matrix and

$$\langle Xv,w\rangle=\mathrm{tr}Xvw^*.$$

- Let $P_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the orthogonal projection of $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ onto $\mathfrak{g}$ then

$$\nabla\phi(v)=4P_{\mathfrak{g}}(vv^*)v\in T_v(Gv).$$

- We set $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ as a G–module and $Crit_G(V)$ equal to the set of all critical vectors. If $X_1, ..., X_r$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{p}$ then

$$\phi(v) = \sum \langle X_j v, v \rangle^2$$

is non-negative homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 defining $Crit_G(V)$.
- We consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ as $n \times 1$ columns and thus if $v \in V$ then v^* is v as a row vector. So for $v, w \in V$, vw^* is an $n \times n$ matrix and

$$\langle Xv, w \rangle = \mathrm{tr} Xvw^*.$$

- Let $P_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the orthogonal projection of $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ onto $\mathfrak{g}$ then

$$\nabla \phi(v) = 4P_{\mathfrak{g}}(vv^*)v \in T_v(Gv).$$

- Also note that $\nabla \phi(kv) = k \nabla \phi(v)$ for $k \in K$.

- Let $F(t,x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ. Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t>0$ and $\|x\|\leq r$

$$\phi(F(t,x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- Let $F(t,x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ. Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t > 0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t,x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G–invariant then $F(t,Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:

- Let $F(t,x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ. Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t>0$ and $\|x\|\leq r$

$$\phi(F(t,x))\leq\frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z\subset V$ is closed and G–invariant then $F(t,Z)\subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:
- **Theorem.** Setting $L(t,Kv)=KF(\frac{t}{1-t},v)$ $0\leq t<1$ then $\lim_{t\to 1}L(t,Kv)$ converges uniformly on compacta and this yields a strict deformation retraction of Z/K to $(Crit_G(V)\cap Z)/K$ for any G–invariant closed subset of V.

- Let $F(t,x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ. Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t>0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t,x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G–invariant then $F(t,Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:
- **Theorem.** Setting $L(t,Kv) = KF(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ $0 \leq t < 1$ then $\lim_{t\to 1} L(t,Kv)$ converges uniformly on compacta and this yields a strict deformation retraction of Z/K to $(Crit_G(V) \cap Z)/K$ for any G–invariant closed subset of V.
- The statement of the next result is simplified.

- Let $F(t,x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ. Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t>0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t,x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G–invariant then $F(t,Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:
- **Theorem.** Setting $L(t,Kv) = KF(\frac{t}{1-t},v)$ $0 \leq t < 1$ then $\lim_{t \to 1} L(t,Kv)$ converges uniformly on compacta and this yields a strict deformation retraction of Z/K to $(Crit_G(V) \cap Z)/K$ for any G–invariant closed subset of V.
- The statement of the next result is simplified.
- **Corollary.** If Z is Zariski closed in V then the GIT quotient, $Z//G$, of Z is a strict deformation retract of Z/K.

- Let $F(t,x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ. Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t>0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$
$$\phi(F(t,x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$
- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G–invariant then $F(t,Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:
- **Theorem.** Setting $L(t,Kv) = KF(\frac{t}{1-t},v)$ $0 \leq t < 1$ then $\lim_{t\to 1} L(t,Kv)$ converges uniformly on compacta and this yields a strict deformation retraction of Z/K to $(Crit_G(V) \cap Z)/K$ for any G–invariant closed subset of V.
- The statement of the next result is simplified.
- **Corollary.** If Z is Zariski closed in V then the GIT quotient, $Z//G$, of Z is a strict deformation retract of Z/K.
- This is a very useful result since if G is connected K is connected and this implies that $Z//G$ has path lifting. In the complex case this is an important result of Kraft, Petrie and Randall.

- Let $F(t,x)$ be the gradient flow corresponding to ϕ. Then we have shown using freshman calculus that for $t>0$ and $\|x\| \leq r$

$$\phi(F(t,x)) \leq \frac{C(r)}{t}.$$

- In addition if $Z \subset V$ is closed and G–invariant then $F(t,Z) \subset Z$ and 2 in the real Kempf-Ness theorem implies:
- **Theorem.** Setting $L(t,Kv) = KF(\frac{t}{1-t},v)$ $0 \leq t < 1$ then $\lim_{t \to 1} L(t,Kv)$ converges uniformly on compacta and this yields a strict deformation retraction of Z/K to $(Crit_G(V) \cap Z)/K$ for any G–invariant closed subset of V.
- The statement of the next result is simplified.
- **Corollary.** If Z is Zariski closed in V then the GIT quotient, $Z//G$, of Z is a strict deformation retract of Z/K.
- This is a very useful result since if G is connected K is connected and this implies that $Z//G$ has path lifting. In the complex case this is an important result of Kraft, Petrie and Randall.
- We now consider the result implied by using the deep results of Lojasiewicz.

- The Lojasiewicz argument implies that if we set $L(t,v) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ then $\lim_{t\to 1} H(t,v)$ converges uniformly on compacta.

- The Lojasiewicz argument implies that if we set $L(t,v) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ then $\lim_{t\to 1} H(t,v)$ converges uniformly on compacta.
- **Theorem.** Let $Z \subset V$ be closed and G invariant then $L : [0,1] \times Z \longrightarrow Z$ defines a strong, K–equivariant deformation retraction of Z onto $Z \cap Crit_G(V)$.

- The Lojasiewicz argument implies that if we set $L(t,v) = F(\frac{t}{1-t}, v)$ then $\lim_{t \to 1} H(t,v)$ converges uniformly on compacta.
- **Theorem.** Let $Z \subset V$ be closed and G invariant then $L : [0,1] \times Z \to Z$ defines a strong, K–equivariant deformation retraction of Z onto $Z \cap Crit_G(V)$.
- Over $\mathbb{C}$ this result is due to Neeman.

- $\mathbb{C}^n = V \oplus iV$ so as a real vector space we write it as $V \oplus V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The real part of the standard Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$ becomes the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes the algebra of 2×2 block $n \times n$ matrices
$$\begin{bmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$
Adjoint in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes transpose in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

- $\mathbb{C}^n = V \oplus iV$ so as a real vector space we write it as $V \oplus V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The real part of the standard Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$ becomes the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes the algebra of 2×2 block $n \times n$ matrices
$$\begin{bmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$
Adjoint in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes transpose in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.
- If $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is Zariski closed and defined by $f_1, ..., f_k$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ then it is defined by $\phi(x, y) = \sum |f_j(x + iy)|^2$ as a real variety.

- $\mathbb{C}^n = V \oplus iV$ so as a real vector space we write it as $V \oplus V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The real part of the standard Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$ becomes the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes the algebra of 2×2 block $n \times n$ matrices
$$\begin{bmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$
Adjoint in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes transpose in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.
- If $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is Zariski closed and defined by $f_1, ..., f_k$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ then it is defined by $\phi(x, y) = \sum |f_j(x + iy)|^2$ as a real variety.
- If $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a Zariski closed subgroup invariant under adjoint then G as a subgroup of $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is invariant under transpose. Furthermore, if we define the critical set for the action of G on $\mathbb{C}^n$ to be
$$\{v \in \mathbb{C}^n | \langle Xv, v \rangle = 0, X \in Lie(G)\}$$
then this set is exactly $Crit_G(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

- $\mathbb{C}^n = V \oplus iV$ so as a real vector space we write it as $V \oplus V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The real part of the standard Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$ becomes the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes the algebra of 2×2 block $n \times n$ matrices
$$\begin{bmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$
Adjoint in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ becomes transpose in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.
- If $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is Zariski closed and defined by $f_1, ..., f_k$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ then it is defined by $\phi(x, y) = \sum |f_j(x + iy)|^2$ as a real variety.
- If $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a Zariski closed subgroup invariant under adjoint then G as a subgroup of $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is invariant under transpose. Furthermore, if we define the critical set for the action of G on $\mathbb{C}^n$ to be
$$\{v \in \mathbb{C}^n | \langle Xv, v \rangle = 0, X \in Lie(G)\}$$
then this set is exactly $Crit_G(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.
- The original Kempf-Ness theorem is now a special case of the real Kempf-Ness theorem since Zariski closure of complex orbits is the same as the closure in the metric topology of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

- The system in the abstract for my talk is just the case of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acting on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by conjugation. Yielding the gradient system

$$\dot{X} = -4[[X, X^*], X].$$

- The system in the abstract for my talk is just the case of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acting on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by conjugation. Yielding the gradient system

$$\dot{X} = -4[[X, X^*], X].$$

- Writing $F_\infty(X) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} F(t, X)$ then $F_\infty(X)$ is a normal operator with the same eigenvalues as X.