

.....

Summaries, March 9 and 10

We reviewed the mapping property of quotient ring, which is in the previous summary.

Next, the **Correspondence Theorem**. Let $R \xrightarrow{\varphi} R'$ be a *surjective* homomorphism with kernel K . (So $R' \approx R/K$.) There is a bijective correspondence between these two sets:

$$\{\text{ideals of } R \text{ that contain } K\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{ideals of } R'\}$$

If I is an ideal of R that contains K , the corresponding ideal of R' is the image $\varphi(I)$ in R' . If J is an ideal of R' , the corresponding ideal of R is the inverse image $\varphi^{-1}(J)$.

If the ideal I of R corresponds to the ideal I' of R' , then the quotient rings R/I and R'/I' are isomorphic.

Example. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $R' = \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$, and let φ the canonical map. Ideals of R' correspond to ideals of \mathbb{Z} that contain $12\mathbb{Z}$. They are generated by the divisors of 12: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12. So $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ contains six ideals.

Using the notation (a) for the principal ideal generated by an element a , the six ideals are: $(\bar{1})$, $(\bar{2})$, $(\bar{3})$, $(\bar{4})$, $(\bar{6})$, and $(\bar{12})$, which is the zero ideal.

adding a relation to a ring.

Given an element a of a ring R , one can ask to force the relation $a = 0$ in R . This is the way that the ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of integers modulo n is defined.

If we want to have $a = 0$, we must accept some consequences, including that $ra = 0$ for all elements r of R . So killing a forces us to kill all elements of the principal ideal $I = Ra$. Then we can form the quotient ring $\bar{R} = R/Ra$. The surjective homomorphism $R \xrightarrow{\pi} \bar{R}$ that sends an element r to the coset $r + I$ has kernel I . So \bar{R} is the ring obtained by killing a . Killing a has no consequences other than $ra = 0$.

adjoining an element to a ring.

Next, we consider the problem of adding a new element to a given ring R . The model for this procedure is the construction of the complex numbers \mathbb{C} from the real numbers \mathbb{R} by adjoining an element i . The element i has no properties other than the equation $i^2 + 1 = 0$, and the ones implied by the ring axioms,

We can identify \mathbb{C} with the quotient ring $\mathbb{R}[x]/I$ where I is the principal ideal of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ generated by $x^2 + 1$. The canonical homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[x] \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}$ that maps x to i is surjective, and its kernel is the principal ideal I generated by $x^2 + 1$. If \bar{R} denotes the quotient ring $\mathbb{R}[x]/I$, then π defines an isomorphism $\bar{R} \approx \mathbb{C}$. This tells us how to make such a construction more generally.

Let R be a ring, and let $f(x)$ be a polynomial in $R[x]$ with coefficients in R . To adjoin an element α to R with the equation $f(\alpha) = 0$, one forms the quotient $R' = R[x]/(f)$ of the polynomial ring $R[x]$, modulo the principal ideal $(f) = Rf$ generated by f . The residue of x is the new element α .

Does the residue of x in $R' = R[x]/(f)$ does satisfy the relation $f(\alpha) = 0$? Say that $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$. The canonical map $R[x] \xrightarrow{\pi} R'$ has $f(x)$ in its kernel, and it is a homomorphism. Let's write the image $\pi(z)$ of an element z of $R[x]$ as \bar{z} . So in particular, $\bar{x} = \alpha$. Then $\bar{f} = 0$, and

$$\bar{a}_n \alpha^n + \bar{a}_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{a}_0 = \bar{a}_n \bar{x}^n + \bar{a}_{n-1} \bar{x}^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{a}_0 = \bar{f} = 0$$

are \bar{a}_i are the images of the coefficients a_i in R' , and if we are able to identify R with its image in R' , i.e., if the restriction of π to the constant polynomials is injective, we will have

$$a_n \alpha^n + a_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$$

as desired. This will work in most cases of interest, though it is possible that the desired equation $f(\alpha) = 0$ is so bad that it kills some constant polynomials.

The simplest case is that the polynomial $f(x)$ is monic, i.e., that $a_n = 1$. In that case, R' will have an R -basis $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$. Every element of R' can be written in a unique way as a combination of this basis with coefficients in R . In particular, the map $R \rightarrow R'$ is injective.

Things become more complicated when f isn't monic. For example, let $f(x) = ax - 1$. In this case, we will have $a\alpha = 1$, i.e., α is an inverse of the element a . The ring R' can be described as the ring obtained by

adjoining an inverse of the element a . So far, so good. However, there doesn't seem to be any restriction on the element a . We seem to be able to adjoin an inverse of the element 0, though we are told never to invert 0.

What happens is that the equation $f(\alpha) = 0$ becomes $0\alpha - 1 = 0$, which simplifies to $1 = 0$. The resulting ring R' is $R[x]/(1)$. However, the principal ideal (1) generated by 1 is the whole ring. Therefore $R' = R/(1) = \{0\}$. Yes, we can invert 0, but doing so gives us the zero ring.

Some terminology.

A *zero divisor* a in a ring R is a nonzero element such that, for some other nonzero element b , the product ab is zero.

A nonzero ring that has no zero divisors is called a *domain*, or elsewhere, an *integral domain*.

An ideal P of a ring R is a *prime ideal* if it satisfies any one of the following three equivalent conditions:

- (1) If a and b are elements of R , and if the product ab is in P , then a is in P or b is in P (or both).
- (2) If A and B are ideal of R , and if the product ideal AB is contained in P , then $A \subset P$ or $B \subset P$.
- (3) The quotient ring $\bar{R} = R/P$ is a domain.

Let's check that (1) implies (2). Say ideals A and B are given, and that $AB \subset P$. If $B \subset P$, OK. Else there is an element $b \in B$ that isn't in P . But $Ab \subset AB \subset P$. Therefore ab is in P for every a in A . By (1), a or b is in P , and since b isn't in P , $a \in P$ for every a in A . So

A *maximal ideal* M of a ring R is an ideal that satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- (1) M isn't the unit ideal, $M < R$, but such that there is no ideal I such that $M < I < R$.
- (2) The quotient ring $\bar{R} = R/M$ is a field.

So, M is a maximal element among ideals different from the unit ideal.

The fact that these conditions are equivalent follows from the next, rather trivial, lemma:

Lemma. A ring R is a field if and only if it contains exactly two ideals, the zero ideal and the unit ideal.

proof. If R is a field, and if I is any nonzero ideal of R , then I contains a nonzero element a , which will have an inverse in the field. Then I contains $1 = a^{-1}a$, so I is the unit ideal. Conversely, suppose that R contains precisely two ideals. Those ideals are the zero ideal and the unit ideal R . Then if a is a nonzero element, the principal ideal Ra isn't zero, so it is the unit ideal, which means that there is an r in R such that $ra = 1$. That element is the inverse of a . So every nonzero element has an inverse, and R is a field. \square

The nonzero prime ideals of the ring \mathbb{Z} of integers are also the maximal ideals, the ones generated by prime integers. The same is true of the polynomial ring $F[x]$, when F is a field. However, in the ring $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ the prime ideals are the ones generated by irreducible polynomials such as $y^2 - x^3 + x$, polynomials that cannot be factored. These are not maximal ideals.

The maximal ideals are described by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz.

Let R be the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ in n variables, and let $p = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a point of complex n -space \mathbb{C}^n . One can evaluate polynomials at p . This gives us a homomorphism $R \xrightarrow{\pi_p} \mathbb{C}$: $\pi_p(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = f(p) = f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, evaluation at p . Its kernel, the set of polynomials such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$, is the ideal that we denote by \mathfrak{m}_p that is generated by the linear polynomials $x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n$. Every polynomial $f(x)$ such that $f(a) = 0$ can be written as a combination of those linear polynomials, with polynomial coefficients. You can check this by writing down the Taylor's expansion of $f(x)$, which is a polynomial.

Since π_p is obviously surjective, we have an isomorphism $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{m}_p \approx \mathbb{C}$. Since \mathbb{C} is a field, \mathfrak{m}_p is a maximal ideal. Hilbert's Nullstellensatz asserts that the ideal \mathfrak{m}_p are all of the maximal ideals of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

It tells us, among other things, that there are no other "secret" points at which one can evaluate a polynomial.

Nullstellensatz. The maximal ideals of $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are the kernels \mathfrak{m}_p of the evaluation maps, for $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

proof. Let M be a maximal ideal of R , let F be the field R/M , and let $R \xrightarrow{\varphi} F$ be the canonical map from R to its quotient ring F . The restriction of φ to the field \mathbb{C} of constant polynomials is injective because \mathbb{C} is field. It maps \mathbb{C} isomorphically to a subfield of F that we enote by \mathbb{C} too.

We plan to show that $\mathbb{C} = F$. If so, then the images of the variables x_i will be complex numbers a_i , and $x_i - a_i$ will be in the kernel of φ . Since the polynomials $x_i - a_i$ generate the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_p described above, we will have $M = \mathfrak{m}_p$.

We choose an index i , and relabel the variable x_i as x . Then we restrict the homomorphism φ to the subring $\mathbb{C}[x]$, obtaining a homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[x] \xrightarrow{\psi} F$. The image of this map is a subring of F , so it is a domain, and therefore the kernel of ψ is a prime ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x]$. The prime ideals are: the zero ideal, and the maximal ideals generated by linear polynomials $x - a$. If we show that the kernel isn't the zero ideal, it will follow that x is mapped to some complex number a . Then all the variables are mapped to elements of \mathbb{C} , and therefore the image of φ is simply \mathbb{C} , as we wanted to show.

Suppose that the kernel of ψ is the zero ideal, so that $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is mapped isomorphically to its image, a subring of F . Then F contains $\mathbb{C}[x]$, and since F is a field, it contains inverses of all polynomials, in particular it contains $1/(x - a)$ for every a .

Now: As a runs over the complex numbers, the polynomials $1/(x - a)$ are linearly independent. You will be able to check that there is no nontrivial relation $\sum_1^n c_i/(x - a_i) = 0$ with distinct complex numbers a_i and with complex coefficients c_i . A simple reason is this: Near to one of the points a_i , $1/(x - a_i)$ gets large, while $1/(x - a_j)$ remains bounded for all $a_j \neq a_i$.

On the other hand, the field F is the image of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and that polynomial ring has a countable basis consisting of the monomials: $1; x_1, \dots, x_n; x_1^2, x_1x_2, \dots$. So F is spanned by the images of the monomials, a countable set. A vector space that is spanned by a countable set cannot contain uncountably many independent elements. Thus it is impossible that $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is mapped injectively to F , and this completes the proof. \square